

Conservation and invariance properties of submarkovian semigroups

A. F. M. ter Elst¹ and Derek W. Robinson²

¹*Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, Private bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand*

e-mail: terelst@math.auckland.ac.nz

²*Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia*

e-mail: Derek.Robinson@anu.edu.au

Communicated by: George Elliott

Received: February 23, 2009

Abstract. Let \mathcal{E} be a Dirichlet form on $L_2(X)$ and Ω an open subset of X . Then one can define Dirichlet forms \mathcal{E}_D , or \mathcal{E}_N , corresponding to \mathcal{E} but with Dirichlet, or Neumann, boundary conditions imposed on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω . If S , S^D and S^N are the associated submarkovian semigroups we prove, under general assumptions of regularity and locality, that $S_t\varphi = S_t^D\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$ if and only if the capacity $\text{cap}_\Omega(\partial\Omega)$ of $\partial\Omega$ relative to Ω is zero. Moreover, if S is conservative, i.e. stochastically complete, then $\text{cap}_\Omega(\partial\Omega) = 0$ if and only if S^D is conservative on $L_2(\Omega)$. Under slightly more stringent assumptions we also prove that the vanishing of the relative capacity is equivalent to $S_t^D\varphi = S_t^N\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 35Hxx, 35J70, 31C15, 31C25.